	T	Con Lete if Known				
	Application	Application No.		09/943,892		
				August 29, 2001		
O FEE TRANSMITTAL SHEET			+ -	Eric D. Anderson		
्रि(FOR FY 2002)				2156		
JAN 0 2 2003 (1)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-			
				David E. England		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (Check One)	Atty. Dock	Atty. Docket Number 500247.03				
METHOD OF PAYMENT (Check One)			FEE CALC	ULATION (Continued)		
1. [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 and 1.136(a)(3) and credit any over payments to Deposit Account No.: 50-1266; Deposit Account Name: DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP	Large Entit	ONAL FEES ty Smal Fee Fee (\$) Code 130 205	l Entity Fee	Fee Description Surcharge - Late filing fee or oath	Fee paid	
2. Check Enclosed	127	50 227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee	\$	
FEE CALCULATION	139	130 139	130	or cover sheet Non-English specification	\$	
1. BASIC FILING FEE		2,520 147	2,520	For Filing a Request for Reexamination	\$	
Large Entity Small Entity	195	300 196	300	Publication (early or Republication)	\$	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	115	110 215	55	Extension for reply within first month	\$	
101 740 201 370 [] Utility Filing Fee	116	400 216	200	Extension for reply within 2 nd month	\$	
	117	920 217	460	Extension for reply within 3 rd month	\$	
106 330 206 165 Design Filing Fee	118 1	1,440 218	720	Extension for reply within 4 th month	\$	
108 740 208 370 [] Reissue Filing Fee	128 1	1 ,960 280	980	Extension for reply within 5 th month	\$	
114 160 214 80 [] Provisional Filing Fee	120	320 220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	\$	
·	121	280 270	140	Request for oral hearing	\$	
Subtotal (1) \$ <u>0</u>	148	110 248	55	Terminal Disclaimer Fee	\$	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	140	110 240	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable	\$	
Current Claims Prior Extra Fee Fee Paid Total 7 - 20 = 0 x \$18 = \$0	180-20-0-	1,280 241	640	Petition to revive – unintentional Utility/Reissue issue fee (+ advance	\$	
Total 7 - 20 = 0 x $$18 = 0 Ind. 1 - 3 = 0 x $$84 = 0	1 5 58	1 ,280 242	640	copies)	\$	
Multiple Dependent Claims x \$ = \$	- 143	460 243	230	Design issue fee (+ advance copies)	\$	
Subtotal (2) \$ 0	- 122	130 122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner Petitions related to provisional	\$	
5ubtotai (2)	_ 123	50 123	50	applications	\$	
Large Entity Small Entity Fee	126	180 126	180	Submission of IDS Recording each patent assignment	\$	
Code (\$) Code (\$)	581	40 81	40	per property (times number of properties)	\$	
103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	179	740 279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	\$	
102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent Claim	Other fee	(specify)		,	\$	
109 84 209 42 Reissue independent claims over				Subtotal (3)		
original patent Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	REC	CEIVE	י ס	otal Amount of Payment:	\$ 0	
	JAN	0 3 200	3			
	Technolog	Technology Center 2100				
Submitted by:	<u></u>					
Name: Mark W. Roberts, Ph.D. Reg. No.: 46,160			Telenhone	e: (206) 903-8728		
1109.110 40,100			1 0.0phono. (200) 000-0120			

Signature: Mall J. Local Date: \\sefile05\files2\ip\documents\clients\micron technology\200\500247.03\500247.03 fee tran sheet 122602.doc

PATENT

certify that on the date specified below, this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner of Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Date

Stephanie Jansen

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Eric D. Anderson

Attorney Docket No.: 500247.03

Serial No. : 09/943,892

Group Art Unit

: 2156

Filed

: August 29, 2001

Examiner

: David E. England

Title

: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CENTRALIZED STORAGE AND MANAGEMENT

OF ELECTRONIC MESSAGES

Box Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner of Patents Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

JAN 0 3 2003

AMENDMENT

Technology Center 2100

Sir:

Applicant acknowledges receipt of the Office Action dated September 26, 2002.

REMARKS

Claims 30-36 are pending in this divisional application. In the Office Action dated September 26, 2002, the Examiner rejected claims 30, 31 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,377,993 to Brandt et al. Claim 32 was rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,236,996 to Bapat et al. The Examiner rejected claims 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,029,195 to Herz. Claim 35 was